



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

मंगलवार, 8 अगस्त, 2017 / 17 श्रावण, 1939

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 001, the 13th May, 2016

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2016/Mandi.—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi has submitted a report as provided under Section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Prem Singh s/o Late Shri Durga Dass, r/o Village Nagahu, P.O. Kao, Tehsil Karsog, District Mandi, H.P. and the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L., Karsog, District Mandi, H.P. as per demand notice dated 12-09-2014 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub-section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services *w.e.f.* 14-02-1993 before the above employer after delay of more than 21 years and has worked only for 28, 115 and 19 days during years 1991, 1992 and 1993 respectively.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Prem Singh s/o Late Shri Durga Dass, r/o Village Nagahu, P.O. Kao, Tehsil Karsog, District Mandi, H.P. *w.e.f.* 14-02-1993 by the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L. Karsog, District Mandi, H.P., who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 21 years, allegedly without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period of 28, 115 and 19 days during years 1991, 1992 and 1993 respectively and delay of more than 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 001, the 17th May, 2016

No.11-5/99(Lab)ID/2016/Chamba.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Rajdeen s/o Shri Noor Mohammad, r/o Village Saloh, P.O. Jassurgarh, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Chamba Forest Division, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. on the issue of alleged time to time termination from services as daily wages beldar during February, 2001 to August, 2014.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but

could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether time to time termination of the services of Shri Rajdeen s/o Shri Noor Mohammad, r/o Village Saloh, P.O. Jassurgarh, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H.P. during February, 2001 to August, 2014 by the Divisional Forest Officer, Chamba Forest Division, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?.”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 001, the 13th May, 2016

No. 11-23/84 (Lab) ID/2016/Mandi.—Whereas the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Mandi has submitted a report as provided under Section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Rajinder Singh s/o Shri Bhag Singh, r/o Village Darkoly, P.O. Paunta, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. and the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L., Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. as per demand notice dated 22-09-2014 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub- section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services *w.e.f.* 02-02-1998 before the above employer after delay of more than 16 years and has worked only for 159, 207, 58, 45, 50 and 18 days during years 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997 and 1998 respectively.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Rajinder Singh s/o Shri Bhag Singh, r/o Village Darkoly, P.O. Paunta, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. *w.e.f.* 02-02-1998 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.S.E.B.L. Division Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P., who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 16 years, allegedly without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period of 159, 207, 58, 45, 50 and 18 days during years 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997 and 1998 respectively and delay of more than 16 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?.”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 001, the 18th May, 2016

No. 11-1/11(Lab)ID/2016/Nurpur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Rajneesh Pathania s/o Shri Janak Singh, r/o V.P.O. Makdoli, Tehsil Indora, District Kangra, H.P. and the General Manager, M/s I.D. Sood Ispat Private Limited, V.P.O. Kandrori, Tehsil Indora, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination of his services *w.e.f.* 02-11-2013.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Rajneesh Pathania s/o Shri Janak Singh, r/o V.P.O. Makdoli, Tehsil Indora, District Kangra, H.P. *w.e.f.* 02-11-2013 by the General Manager, M/s I.D. Sood Ispat Private Limited, V.P.O. Kandrori, Tehsil Indora, District

Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 30th May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016/Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Ratan Chand s/o Shri Phinu Ram, r/o Village Sanjoor, P.O. Kherian, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. and (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services as daily wages beldar during October, 1999.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Ratan Chand s/o Shri Phinu Ram, r/o Village Sanjoor, P.O. Kherian, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during October, 1999 by (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by workman, is legal and justified; whereas he has raised the industrial dispute *vide* demand notice dated 26-11-2013 after lapse of more than 14 years. If not, keeping in view delay of more than 14 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 18th May, 2016*

No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2016/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Roshan Lal s/o Shri Moola Singh, c/o Shri R.K. Singh Parmar, General Secretary, Pb. INTUC, L-211, Brari, P.O. Partap Nagar, Tehsil Nangal, District Roper, Punjab and Managing Director, M/s Arvind Talwar Machine Tools (P) Limited, V.P.O. Nangal Khurad, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-07-2014 (as alleged by workman).

As per the report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Roshan Lal s/o Shri Moola Singh, c/o Shri R.K. Singh Parmar, General Secretary, Pb. INTUC, L-211, Brari, P.O. Partap Nagar, Tehsil Nangal, District Roper, Punjab *w.e.f.* 01-07-2014 (as alleged by workman) by the Managing Director, M/s Arvind Talwar Machine Tools (P) Limited, V.P.O. Nangal Khurad, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016*

No. 11-2/86(Lab)ID/2016/Bilaspur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sada Ram s/o Shri Mansha Ram, r/o Village Tundwin, P.O. Tantha, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. and the Additional Superintending Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B. Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services as daily wages beldar *w.e.f.* 01-04-1988.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Sada Ram s/o Shri Mansha Ram, r/o Village Tundwin, P.O. Tantha, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. *w.e.f.* 01-04-1988 by the Additional Superintending Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B. Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by workman, is legal and justified; whereas he has raised the industrial dispute *vide* demand notice dated 26-10-2014 after lapse of more than 26 years. If not, keeping in view delay of more than 26 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016

No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2016/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sandeep Kumar s/o Shri Om Prakash, c/o Shri R.K. Singh Parmar, General Secretary, Pb. INTUC, L-211, Brari, P.O. Partap Nagar, Tehsil Nangal, District Ropar, Punjab and Managing Director, M/s Arvind Talwar Machine Tools (P) Limited, V.P.O. Nangal Khurad, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-07-2014 (as alleged by workman).

As per the report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Sandeep Kumar s/o Shri Om Prakash, c/o Shri R.K. Singh Parmar, General Secretary, Pb. INTUC, L-211, Brari, P.O. Partap Nagar, Tehsil Nangal, District Roper, Punjab *w.e.f.* 01-07-2014 (as alleged by workman) by the Managing Director, M/s Arvind Talwar Machine Tools (P) Limited, V.P.O. Nangal Khurad, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 12th May, 2016

No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2016/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sanjeev Dhiman s/o Shri Ram Parkash, c/o Shri R.K. Singh Parmar, General Secretary, Pb. INTUC, L-211, Brari, P.O. Partap Nagar, Tehsil Nangal, District Roper, Punjab and Managing Director, M/s Arvind Talwar Machine Tools (P) Limited, V.P.O. Nangal Khurad, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-07-2014 (as alleged by workman).

As per the report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Sanjeev Dhiman s/o Shri Ram Parkash, c/o Shri R.K. Singh Parmar, General Secretary, Pb. INTUC, L-211, Brari, P.O. Partap Nagar, Tehsil Nangal, District Roper, Punjab *w.e.f.* 01-07-2014 (as alleged by workman) by the Managing Director, M/s Arvind Talwar Machine Tools (P) Limited, V.P.O. Nangal Khurad, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171001, the 18th May, 2016

No. 11-1/11(Lab)ID/2016/Nurpur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Satpal Singh s/o Shri Pratap Singh, r/o Village Jol, P.O. Bhali, Tehsil Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. and the General Manager, M/s I.D. Sood Ispat Private Limited, V.P.O. Kandrori, Tehsil Indora, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination of his services *w.e.f.* 02-11-2013.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Satpal Singh s/o Shri Pratap Singh, r/o Village Jol, P.O. Bhali, Tehsil Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. *w.e.f.* 02-11-2013 by the General Manager, M/s I.D. Sood Ispat Private Limited, V.P.O. Kandrori, Tehsil Indora, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016

No. 11-1/11(Lab)ID/2016/Nurpur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sham Lal s/o Shri Divan Chand, r/o Village Kardial, P.O. Pharian, Tehsil Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. and Shri Arun Mahajan, Proprietor of Suvidha Gas Service, Bharat Gas Distributors, Main Bazar Jawali, Tehsil Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination of his services during April, 2014

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Sham Lal s/o Shri Divan Chand, r/o Village Kardial, P.O. Pharian, Tehsil Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. during April, 2014 by Shri Arun Mahajan, Proprietor of Suvidha Gas Service, Bharat Gas Distributors, Main Bazar Jawali, Tehsil Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016/Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sham Lal s/o Shri Girdhari Lal, r/o V.P.O. Kopra, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. and (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services as daily wages beldar during September, 1988.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Sham Lal s/o Shri Girdhari Lal, r/o V.P.O. Kopra, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during September, 1988 by (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by workman, is legal and justified; whereas he has raised the industrial dispute *vide* demand notice dated nil received in Labour Office Kangra during year, 2014 after lapse of about 26 years. If not, keeping in view delay of about 26 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016

No. 11-1/18 (Lab) ID/2016/Sunder Nagar.—Whereas the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Sunder Nagar has submitted a report as provided under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Som Krishan Sharma s/o Late Shri Khub Ram, r/o Village Marola, P.O. Khira, Tehsil Karsog, District Mandi, H.P. and the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Karsog, District Mandi, H.P. as per demand notice dated 01-10-2013 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services *w.e.f.* 01-08-1991 before the above employer after delay of more than 22 years and has worked only from 21-01-1998 to 31-07-1991 respectively.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Som Krishan Sharma s/o Late Shri Khub Ram, r/o Village Marola, P.O. Khira, Tehsil Karsog, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 01-08-1991 by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Karsog, District Mandi, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by workman, is legal and justified; whereas he has raised the industrial dispute *vide* demand notice dated 01-10-2013 after lapse of more than 22 years. If not, keeping in view delay of more than 22 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016/Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Subhash Singh s/o Shri Fateh Singh, r/o Village Nera, P.O. Suliali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. and (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services as daily wages beldar during September, 1987.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Subhash Singh s/o Shri Fateh Singh, r/o Village Nera, P.O. Suliali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during September, 1987 by (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by workman, is legal and justified; whereas he has raised the industrial dispute *vide* demand notice dated 24-11-2013 after lapse of more than 26 years. If not, keeping in view delay of more than 26 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority,

past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers?"

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 9th May, 2016

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016/Chamba.—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba has submitted a report as provided under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Tilak Raj s/o Shri Ronki Ram, r/o Village Kharnala, P.O. Taretha, Tehsil Dalhousie, District Chamba, H.P. and the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Dalhousie, District Chamba, H.P. as per demand notice dated 09-07-2010 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub-section 5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services *w.e.f.* 26-11-2000 before the above employer after delay of more than 9 years and has worked only for 147, 311, 302, 308, 323, 341 and 313 days during the years 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Tilak Raj s/o Shri Ronki Ram, r/o Village Kharnala, P.O. Taretha, Tehsil Dalhousie, District Chamba, H.P. *w.e.f.* 26-11-2000 by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Dalhousie, District Chamba, H.P., who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis only for 147, 311, 302, 308, 323, 341 and 313 days during the years 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute demand notice dated 09-07-2010 after delay of more than 9 years, allegedly without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is

legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period stated above and delay of more than 9 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 12th May, 2016

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2016/Mandi.—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi has submitted a report as provided under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Tara Chand s/o Shri Gokal Ram, r/o Village Parsh, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. as per demand notice dated 21-07-2014 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub-section-5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services during August, 2009 before the above employer after delay of more than 4 years and has worked only for 25, 23, 80, 30 and 28 days during the years 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2009 respectively.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Tara Chand s/o Shri Gokal Ram, r/o Village Parsh, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. during August, 2009 by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P., who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis only for 25, 23, 80, 30 and 28 days during the years 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2009 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute demand notice dated 21-07-2014 after delay of more than 4 years, allegedly without

complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period stated above and delay of more than 4 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 13th May, 2016

No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2016/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Vijay Kumar s/o Shri Hans Raj c/o Shri R.K. Singh Parmar, General Secretary, Pb. INTUC, L-211, Brari, P.O. Partap Nagar, Tehsil Nangal, District Ropar, Punjab and Managing Director, M/s Arvind Talwar Machine Tools (P) Limited, V.P.O. Nangal Khurad, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-07-2014 (as alleged by workman).

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that t his dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Vijay Kumar s/o Shri Hans Raj c/o Shri R.K. Singh Parmar, General Secretary, Pb. INTUC, L-211, Brari, P.O. Partap Nagar, Tehsil Nangal, District Ropar, Punjab *w.e.f.* 01-07-2014 (as alleged by workman) by the Managing Director, M/s Arvind Talwar Machine Tools (P) Limited, V.P.O. Nangal Khurad, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171 001, the 30th May, 2016*

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016/Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Vijay Kumar s/o Shri Dharam Singh, r/o Village Ghali, Tehsil Nurpur, Kangra, H.P. and (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services as daily wages beldar during October, 1989.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Vijay Kumar s/o Shri Dharam Singh, r/o Village Ghali, Tehsil Nurpur, Kangra, H.P. during October, 1989 by (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by workman, is legal and justified; whereas he has raised the industrial dispute *vide* demand notice dated 24-11-2013 after lapse of more than 24 years. If not, keeping in view delay of more than 24 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016*

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016/Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Yash Pal s/o Shri Ranjit Singh, r/o Village Hatli, P.O. Suliali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. and (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services as daily wages beldar during September, 1987.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Yash Pal s/o Shri Ranjit Singh, r/o Village Hatli, P.O. Suliali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during September, 1987 by (i) the Executive Engineer, Nurpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., (ii) the Executive Engineer, Jawali Division, H.P.P.W.D. Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by workman, is legal and justified; whereas he has raised the industrial dispute *vide* demand notice dated 15-12-2011 after lapse of more than 24 years. If not, keeping in view delay of more than 24 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री नरेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री दीवान सिंह, निवासी मेहरड़, तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर ..वादी।

बनाम

आम जनता .. प्रतिवादी।

अनुवान मुकद्दमा : प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

श्री नरेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री दीवान सिंह, निवासी मेहरड़, तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर ने एक प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके निवेदन किया है कि आवेदक किन्हीं कारणों से अपने लक्ष्मी देवी की मृत्यु की तिथि 08-03-2008 का इन्द्राज निर्धारित अवधि के अन्दर सम्बन्धित ग्राम पंचायत/नगर पालिका परिषद् में दर्ज नहीं करवा पाया है। इस बारे आवेदक द्वारा एक ब्यान हल्फी भी पेश किया गया है तथा इस सम्बन्ध में दो गवाहों के शपथ-पत्र भी आवेदक ने अपने प्रार्थना-पत्र के साथ संलग्न किये हैं। आवेदक ने ग्राम पंचायत/नगर पालिका परिषद् पडदुनी में अपने ऊपर वर्णित लक्ष्मी देवी की मृत्यु तिथि 08-03-2008 को दर्ज करने का अनुरोध किया है।

अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी भी व्यक्ति को लक्ष्मी देवी की मृत्युतिथि ग्राम पंचायत/नगर पालिका परिषद् पडदुनी तहसील पांवटा साहिब में दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह मिति ----- को या इससे पूर्व हमारे न्यायालय में हाजिर होकर लिखित अथवा मौखिक एतराज पेश कर सकता है। उक्त निश्चित तिथि के बाद कोई भी एतराज मान्य नहीं होगा और समझा जायेगा कि उक्त लक्ष्मी देवी की मृत्यु तिथि को सम्बन्धित ग्राम पंचायत/नगर पालिका

परिषद् में दर्ज करने बारे किसी को कोई एतराज नहीं है तथा नियमानुसार जन्म तिथि पंजीकरण के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक ----- को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्रीमती Palgun पुत्र श्री Phurdun, निवासी H. No.-37, Tibetan Cholsum Settlement Bhuppur,
तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर ..वादी।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रतिवादी।

उनवान मुकद्दमा : प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

श्रीमती Palgun पुत्र श्री Phurdun, निवासी H. No.-37, Tibtetein Cholsum Settement Bhuppur, तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर ने एक प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके निवेदन किया है कि आवेदक किन्हीं कारणों से अपने Wangdi की मृत्यु तिथि 10-07-1988 का इन्द्राज निर्धारित अवधि के अन्दर सम्बन्धित ग्राम पंचायत/नगरपालिका परिषद् में दर्ज नहीं करवा पाया है। इस बारे आवेदक द्वारा एक ब्यान हल्फी भी पेश किया गया है तथा इस सम्बन्ध में दो गवाहों के शपथ-पत्र भी आवेदक ने अपने प्रार्थना-पत्र के साथ संलग्न किये हैं। आवेदक ने ग्राम पंचायत/नगरपालिका परिषद् Bhattanwali में अपने ऊपर वर्णित Wangdi की मृत्यु तिथि 10-07-1988 को दर्ज करने का अनुरोध किया है।

अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी भी व्यक्ति को Wangdi की मृत्यु तिथि ग्राम पंचायत/नगरपालिका परिषद् Bhattanwali, तहसील पांवटा साहिब में दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह मिति ----- को या इससे पूर्व हमारे न्यायालय में हाजिर होकर लिखित अथवा मौखिक एतराज पेश कर सकता है। उक्त निश्चित तिथि के बाद कोई भी एतराज मान्य नहीं होगा और समझा जायेगा कि उक्त Wangdi की मृत्यु तिथि को सम्बन्धित ग्राम पंचायत/नगरपालिका परिषद् में दर्ज करने बारे किसी को कोई एतराज नहीं है तथा नियमानुसार जन्म तिथि पंजीकरण के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 27-07-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री असीफ अली पुत्र श्री मनवर अली, निवासी मेलियो (माजरा), तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर
..वादी।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रतिवादी।

अनुवान मुकद्दमा : प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

श्री असीफ अली पुत्र श्री मनवर अली, निवासी मेलियो (माजरा), तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर ने एक प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके निवेदन किया है कि आवेदक किन्हीं कारणों से उसकी जन्म तिथि 24-01-1999 का इन्द्राज निर्धारित अवधि के अन्दर सम्बन्धित ग्राम पंचायत/नगर पालिका परिषद् में दर्ज नहीं करवा पाया है। इस बारे आवेदक द्वारा एक ब्यान हल्फी भी पेश किया गया है तथा इस सम्बन्ध में दो गवाहों के शपथ-पत्र भी आवेदक ने अपने प्रार्थना-पत्र के साथ संलग्न किये हैं। आवेदक ने ग्राम पंचायत/नगर पालिका परिषद् माजरा में अपनी जन्म तिथि 24-01-1999 को दर्ज करने का अनुरोध किया है।

अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी भी व्यक्ति को श्री असीफ अली की जन्म तिथि ग्राम पंचायत/नगर पालिका परिषद् माजरा, तहसील पांवटा साहिब में दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह मिति ----- को या इससे पूर्व हमारे न्यायालय में हाजिर होकर लिखित अथवा मौखिक एतराज पेश कर सकता है। उक्त निश्चित तिथि के बाद कोई भी एतराज मान्य नहीं होगा और समझा जायेगा कि उक्त श्री असीफ अली की जन्म तिथि को सम्बन्धित ग्राम पंचायत/नगर पालिका परिषद् में दर्ज करने बारे किसी को कोई एतराज नहीं है तथा नियमानुसार जन्म तिथि पंजीकरण के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक ----- को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—

कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

**In the Court of Shri Ashutosh Garg, I.A.S., Sub Divisional Magistrate Nalagarh,
District Solan (H.P.) exercising the powers of Marriage Officer
under Special Marriage Act, 1954**

Case No. : / 2017

Date of Inst. : 15-07-2017

Pending for : 17-08-2017

Notice u/s 15 of the Special Marriage Act, 1954 inviting the objections of the General Public for registration of marriage.

Notice to the General Public.

Whereas, Shri Sanjeev Kumar s/o Shri Mangat Ram, r/o Ward No. 1 Baddi, Tehsil Baddi, District Solan, H. P. and Smt. Neha d/o Sh. Rajinder Kumar and w/o Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, r/o Ward No. 1 Baddi, Tehsil Baddi, District Solan (H.P.) has moved an application u/s 15 of the Special Marriage Act, 1954 for registration of their marriage that was solemnized on 07-11-2013;

And whereas both these applicants have submitted in their application and in their affidavits that they were unmarried at the present time of solemnization of their marriage and were major in

age and having no prohibited relations to each other debarring them to marry each other. Both the applicants have requested for registration of their marriage.

Therefore, by this notice the public in General is informed that if any one has any objection regarding registration of this marriage, he may present before this court on or before 17-08-2017 for hearing of objections if any. In case no objection is received by dated 17-08-2017, it will be presumed that there is no objection to the registration of the above said marriage and the same will be registered on the said date.

Given under my hand and seal of the court on 17-07-2017.

Seal.

Sd/-
Marriage Officer-cum- SDM,
Nalagarh, District Solan, H. P.